A AND
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV ARMS COMPLAINT N			
AIRS ID#: 0530370 DATE: 1/19/07 ARRIVE: DEPART: FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERIALS OF FLORIDA, INC.					
FACILITY LOCATION:	11210 CAMP MINE RO BROOKSVILLE 34601				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIA	L: JEFFREY PORTER	РНОМ	IE: (561)820-8415		
CONTACT NAME: N/A		РНОМ	Æ:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLI	EMENT PERIOD: 10/10/20 (effective c			
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from scontrolled to the extension of the extension	silos, weigh hoppers (batchers ent necessary to limit visible en- ions tests of the silo dust colle sentative of the normal silo loa nachievable in practice?), and other enclosed storage missions to 5 percent opacity ctor exhaust points was the le ading rate, or at least at the m eration controlled by the silo ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a the visible emissions test? ing rate representative of the ation are controlled by a dust ns tests of the weigh hopper (Yes No and conveying equipment Yes No Pressure Yes No bading of the silo conducted Yes No inimum 25 tons per hour rate, Yes No dust collector? (If answer Yes No nswer is "No" then Yes No Image: Signal batching rate and Yes No Collector, which is separate No No		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes INO
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary : 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check I only one box.</i>)	le 🗌
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)	Yes No Yes No
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? 	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes] No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Tyes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Ves No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? [Yes] No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? c) Yes ves v
 d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?

Robert Soich

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/19/07

Date of Inspection

1 year

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: This is a proposed batch & block plant. The facility has not been constructed yet. A conversation with Mr. Morris of Rinker revealed that this facility is still planned for this site.